

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 10/772,739 | 05/10/2004 | David A. Giardino | CP-5144US2 | 9744 |
| 7590 03/09/2005 SCHMEISER, OLSEN & WATTS | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CHUKWURAH, NATHANIEL C | |
| 3 Lear Jet Lane Latham, NY | - | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3721 | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 02/00/200 | |

DATE MAILED: 03/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/772,739 | GIARDINO, DAVID A. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| • | Nathaniel C. Chukwurah | 3721 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe | bruary 2005. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| ·— | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| | x purio Quayro, 1000 O.D. 11, 40 | 0 0.0. 210. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) ☐ Claim(s) 56-64 and 67-69 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 62-64 and 67 is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 56-61,68 and 69 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | rithdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 10 May 2004 is/are: a) | | ov the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | • | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | , | | | | | |
| ,— | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical part of the certified copies of the priorical bureau | s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | on No ed in this National Stage | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | » — | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| Notice of Drainsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/10/2004</u> . | | atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of invention of group I, claims 56-61, 68 and 69 in the reply filed on 2/15/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 56-64 and 67-69 is sufficiently related that a thorough search for the subject matter of any group of claims would encompass a search for the subject matter of the remaining claims. This is not found persuasive because the method of using modular control apparatus, the method of making modular control apparatus and method of making a pneumatic impact power tool involve different operations. The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the adapter (900), adapter channel (910), and second adapter (920) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show #10 as described in the specification on page 7, line 8. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to

Art Unit: 3721

avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 56-61, 68 and 69 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by W.G. Mitchell et al. (US 2,727,598).

With regard to claim 56, Mitchell et al. discloses a method of using a modular control apparatus (12) comprising the steps of: Providing a modular control apparatus (12), aligning, attaching and adjusting the output the modular control apparatus (see fig. 1) to a tool (11), and applying the tool to a workpiece (18, 19) as shown in Figure 1.

With regard to claim 57, Mitchell et al. shows the modular control is attached and detached from the tool via screws 12a. Mitchell et al. further shows that the modular control apparatus can be aligned, attached, adjusted to a second tool and applied to the second tool to a workpiece.

With regard to claims 58 and 59, Mitchell et al. shows a step of providing fluid to the modular control apparatus through the fitting (21).

With regard to claim 60, Mitchell et al. shows a method of using a pneumatic modular control apparatus comprising the steps of: attaching a pneumatic modular control apparatus (12) to a pneumatic tool (11) (see fig. 1), connecting a compressed-air supply channel (23) to an input

Art Unit: 3721

port (23), channeling a compressed-air discharge from a discharge port to the tool's motor inlet, adjusting the control apparatus and applying the tool to the workpiece (18, 19) as shown in Figure 1.

With regard to claim 61, Mitchell et al. shows attaching a workpiece (18, 19) adapter (16 fig. 1) to at least drive shaft (14) of the motor of the tool.

With regard to claims 68 and 69, Mitchell et al. shows steps of attaching and adjusting the modular control apparatus to a power impact tool (torque tool see fig. 1).

Conclusion

Refer to attachment for notice of references cited and recommended for consideration based on their disclosure of limitations of the claimed invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathaniel C. Chukwurah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4457. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:00AM-2:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada can be reached on (571) 272-4467. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,739 Page 5

Art Unit: 3721

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NC

March 3, 2005

Rinaldi I. Rada Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700